

On some transformations of bilateral birth-and-death processes with applications to first passage time evaluations*

ANTONIO DI CRESCENZO[†]

Abstract: A method yielding simple relationships among bilateral birth-and-death processes is outlined. This allows one to relate birth and death rates of two processes in such a way that their transition probabilities, first-passage-time densities and ultimate crossing probabilities are mutually related by some product-form expressions.

1. Introduction

Birth-and-death processes are a powerful tool to describe stochastic models arising in population dynamics (see for instance Ricciardi [7]) and also in a large variety of applied fields, such as adaptive queueing systems and neurophysiology. In particular, their transition probabilities and first-passage-time densities play a relevant role in many applied contexts. Hence, obtaining closed form expressions for these functions is an important task. Unfortunately, apart from a few cases, such expressions are rarely encountered in the literature. Furthermore, procedures which have

been successfully exploited for continuous-state processes are not suitable for point processes due to the discreteness of their state-space.

The aim of this note is to give an extension of a method leading to direct transformations between birth-and-death processes (cf. Di Crescenzo [3] or [4]) to the doubly infinite state-space case. Here we consider a pre-assigned birth-and-death process X_t whose state-space is the set of integers. In Section 2 we show how to construct a new birth-and-death process $\widetilde{X}_t$ in such a way that the rates of the two processes are mutually related. As a consequence, their transition probabilities are related by a simple product-form relation. In Section 3 it is shown that also the first-passage-time densities of the two processes are similarly connected. We point out that the transformation from X_t to $\widetilde{X}_t$ can be viewed as a method to construct new stochastic models. Indeed, making use of such method, starting from a birth-and-death process with constant rates, in Section 4 a new process is obtained.

*Paper appeared in:

The 17th Symposium of Information Theory and Its Applications (SITA '94), Hiroshima, Japan, December 6-9, 1994, pp. 739-742.

[†]current address:

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Salerno, Via Ponte don Melillo, I-84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy, Email: adicrescenzo@unisa.it

2. Transition probabilities

Let $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$ be a birth-and-death process whose state-space is the set of integers $\mathbf{Z} \equiv \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$. As usual, for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ we

denote by λ_n and μ_n the birth and the death rates of X_t , i.e.

$$\lambda_n = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \Pr\{X_{t+\delta} = n+1 \mid X_t = n\},$$

$$\mu_n = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \Pr\{X_{t+\delta} = n-1 \mid X_t = n\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. We also assume that rates λ_n and μ_n are positive, so that the birth-and-death process has no absorbing or reflecting states. In this case X_t is said to be a *bilateral birth-and-death process* (cf. Ismail *et al.* [5]). We also assume that X_t is *simple*; hence, the set of rates $\{\lambda_n, \mu_n\}$ uniquely determines the birth-and-death process. According to Callaert and Keilson (cf. [2]), a bilateral birth-and-death process is simple if and only if the two component birth-and-death processes, obtained by locating at $n = 0$ a boundary reflecting in both directions, are simple (necessary and sufficient conditions are also given in Pruitt [6]).

As X_t is simple, the transition probabilities $p_{k,n}(t) = \Pr\{X_t = n \mid X_0 = k\}$ are the unique solution of the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} p_{k,n}(t) &= \lambda_{n-1} p_{k,n-1}(t) \\ &- (\lambda_n + \mu_n) p_{k,n}(t) \\ &+ \mu_{n+1} p_{k,n+1}(t) \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

This has to be solved with initial conditions

$$p_{k,n}(0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = k \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq k, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ is the initial state.

We shall now see that, under suitable assumptions, there exists a bilateral birth-and-death process $\tilde{X}_t$ having state-space $\mathbf{Z}$ whose rates are obtained from those of X_t and such that the transition probabilities of the two processes are mutually related by a product-form relation.

Theorem 1 *Let $\{\dots, \nu_{-1}, \nu_0, \nu_1, \dots\}$ be a strictly monotonic sequence of positive numbers satisfying*

$$\nu_{n+1} \lambda_n - \nu_n (\lambda_n + \mu_n) + \nu_{n-1} \mu_n = 0 \quad (3)$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. If a bilateral birth-and-death process $\tilde{X}_t$ has rates

$$\tilde{\lambda}_n = \lambda_n \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{\nu_n} \quad \tilde{\mu}_n = \mu_n \frac{\nu_{n-1}}{\nu_n} \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z}) \quad (4)$$

then its transition probabilities are given by:

$$\tilde{p}_{k,n}(t) = \frac{\nu_n}{\nu_k} p_{k,n}(t) \quad (k, n \in \mathbf{Z}). \quad (5)$$

Proof. Let

$$p_{k,n}^*(t) = \frac{\nu_n}{\nu_k} p_{k,n}(t) \quad (k, n \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

Making use of (1), for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} p_{k,n}^*(t) &= \frac{\nu_n}{\nu_k} \frac{d}{dt} p_{k,n}(t) \\ &= \lambda_{n-1} \frac{\nu_n}{\nu_{n-1}} p_{k,n-1}^*(t) \\ &- (\lambda_n + \mu_n) p_{k,n}^*(t) \\ &+ \mu_{n+1} \frac{\nu_n}{\nu_{n+1}} p_{k,n+1}^*(t). \end{aligned}$$

From relations (3) and (4) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n + \mu_n &= \lambda_n \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{\nu_n} + \mu_n \frac{\nu_{n-1}}{\nu_n} \\ &= \tilde{\lambda}_n + \tilde{\mu}_n, \\ \lambda_{n-1} \frac{\nu_n}{\nu_{n-1}} &= \tilde{\lambda}_{n-1}, \\ \mu_{n+1} \frac{\nu_n}{\nu_{n+1}} &= \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the previous system becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} p_{k,n}^*(t) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{n-1} p_{k,n-1}^*(t) \\ &- (\tilde{\lambda}_n + \tilde{\mu}_n) p_{k,n}^*(t) \\ &+ \tilde{\mu}_{n+1} p_{k,n+1}^*(t) \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

These are the forward equations of a bilateral birth-and-death process $\tilde{X}_t$, characterized by rates $\tilde{\lambda}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n$. The corresponding initial conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \downarrow 0} p_{k,n}^*(t) &= \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\nu_n}{\nu_k} p_{k,n}(t) \\ &= \frac{\nu_n}{\nu_k} \delta_{kn} = \delta_{kn}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the uniqueness of the solution of the forward equations, probabilities $p_{k,n}^*(t)$ and $\tilde{p}_{k,n}(t)$ coincide, so that (5) holds. ■

It should be noted that if the ratio λ_n/μ_n is a constant, a solution of system (3) can be easily obtained. Indeed, if there exists a positive constant c ($c \neq 1$) such that $\mu_n = c \lambda_n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, then one has:

$$\nu_n = 1 + \beta c^n \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z}),$$

with $\beta > 0$.

3. First-passage-time densities

Let

$$\begin{aligned} g_{k,s}(t) &= \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Pr(\inf\{t > 0 : X_t = s\} < t \mid X_0 = k). \end{aligned}$$

be the first-passage-time probability density function of X_t through the state s , conditioned upon the initial condition $\Pr\{X_0 = k\} = 1$, with $k \neq s$. In the following Theorem we determine the relations existing between the first-passage-time densities of the birth-and-death processes X_t and $\tilde{X}_t$.

Theorem 2 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the first-passage-time density $\tilde{g}_{k,s}(t)$ of process $\tilde{X}_t$ with rates (4) is given by*

$$\tilde{g}_{k,s}(t) = \frac{\nu_s}{\nu_k} g_{k,s}(t) \quad (k \neq s). \quad (6)$$

Proof. The transition probabilities and the first-passage-time density of $\tilde{X}_t$ are related by the integral equation

$$\tilde{p}_{k,n}(t) = \int_0^t \tilde{g}_{k,s}(\vartheta) \tilde{p}_{s,n}(t - \vartheta) d\vartheta,$$

which holds as $k < s \leq n$ or $n \leq s < k$. Making use of relation (5) one obtains:

$$p_{k,n}(t) = \frac{\nu_k}{\nu_s} \int_0^t \tilde{g}_{k,s}(\vartheta) p_{s,n}(t - \vartheta) d\vartheta.$$

However, one also has:

$$p_{k,n}(t) = \int_0^t g_{k,s}(\vartheta) p_{s,n}(t - \vartheta) d\vartheta.$$

Comparing the last two integral equations, due to the uniqueness of their solution, identity (6) follows. ■

Bearing in mind that a first-passage-time density could be “defective”, let us now pinpoint some further relations. If sequence $\{\nu_n\}_n$ is increasing, from (6) one has:

- $\tilde{g}_{k,s}(t) > g_{k,s}(t)$ if $s > k$;
- $\tilde{g}_{k,s}(t) < g_{k,s}(t)$ if $s < k$.

Instead, when $\{\nu_n\}_n$ is decreasing the inequalities between the first-passage-time densities are inverted.

For all $k \neq s$ let us now introduce the ultimate crossing probabilities

$$\mathcal{P}_{k,s} = \int_0^\infty g_{k,s}(t) dt, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,s} = \int_0^\infty \tilde{g}_{k,s}(t) dt.$$

Integration of both sides in (6) over $(0, \infty)$ immediately yields

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,s} = \frac{\nu_s}{\nu_k} \mathcal{P}_{k,s} \quad (k \neq s).$$

As $\{\nu_n\}_n$ is a strictly monotonic sequence, the ultimate crossing probabilities $\mathcal{P}_{k,s}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,s}$ are never equal; hence, in particular, they cannot be simultaneously unity.

4. An example

In this Section we give an example of application of previous results. Let X_t be the bilateral birth-and-death process with constant rates $\lambda_n = \lambda > 0$, $\mu_n = \mu > 0$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}$). The transition probabilities and the first-passage-time density of X_t are given by (cf. Abate *et al.* [1]):

$$p_{k,n}(t) = e^{-(\lambda+\mu)t} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{(n-k)/2} I_{n-k}(2t\sqrt{\lambda\mu}) \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_{k,s}(t) &= \frac{|s-k|}{t} p_{k,s}(t) \\ &= \frac{|s-k|}{t} e^{-(\lambda+\mu)t} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{(s-k)/2} I_{s-k}(2t\sqrt{\lambda\mu}), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

respectively, where I_k is the modified Bessel function of first kind:

$$I_k(2z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k+2j}}{j! (k+j)!}.$$

Note that when $\lambda = \mu$ equation (3) does not admit of a positive non-constant solution. Instead, if $\lambda \neq \mu$ Theorem 1 holds. Furthermore, from equality (3) for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ one has:

$$\nu_n = 1 + \beta c^n \quad (\beta > 0),$$

where $c = \mu/\lambda$. Recalling expressions 4, for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ the rates of the birth-and-death process $\widetilde{X}_t$ are given by:

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_n = \lambda \frac{1 + \beta c^{n+1}}{1 + \beta c^n}, \quad \widetilde{\mu}_n = \mu \frac{1 + \beta c^{n-1}}{1 + \beta c^n}.$$

Furthermore, making use of equations (5) and (7), the transition probabilities of $\widetilde{X}_t$ follow:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{p}_{k,n}(t) &= \frac{1 + \beta c^n}{1 + \beta c^k} \\ &\times e^{-(\lambda+\mu)t} c^{(k-n)/2} I_{n-k}(2t\sqrt{\lambda\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, making use of Theorem 2, from (8) we obtain the first-passage-time density of $\widetilde{X}_t$ from state k to state s :

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{g}_{k,s}(t) &= \frac{1 + \beta c^s}{1 + \beta c^k} \frac{|s-k|}{t} \\ &\times e^{-(\lambda+\mu)t} c^{(k-s)/2} I_{s-k}(2t\sqrt{\lambda\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] J. ABATE, M. KIJIMA and W. WHITT, "Decomposition of the $M/M/1$ transition function", *Queueing Systems*, Vol. 9, pp. 323–336, 1991.
- [2] H. CALLAERT and J. KEILSON "On exponential ergodicity and spectral structure for birth-death processes II", *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, Vol. 1, pp. 217–235, 1973.
- [3] A. DI CRESCENZO "On certain transformation properties of birth-and-death processes", in: *Cybernetics and Systems '94* (Trapp R. ed.), World Scientific, Singapore, pp. 839–846, 1994.
- [4] A. DI CRESCENZO "On a transformation of birth-and-death processes", (preprint), 1994.
- [5] M.E.H. ISMAIL, J. LETESSIER, D. MASON and G. VALENT "Birth and death processes and orthogonal polynomials", in: *Orthogonal polynomials: Theory and Practice* (Nevai P. ed.), NATO ASI series C, Vol. 294, pp. 229–255, 1990.
- [6] W.E. PRUITT "Bilateral birth and death processes", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 107, pp. 508–525, 1962.
- [7] L.M. RICCIARDI "Stochastic population theory: birth and death processes", in: *Biomathematics*, Vol. 17, Mathematical Ecology (Hallam T.G. and Levin S.A. eds.), Springer-Verlag, pp. 155–190, 1986.